

ANSWERS OF MODEL TEST PAPER 7

INTERMEDIATE: GROUP – II

PAPER – 5: AUDITING AND ETHICS

SUGGESTED ANSWERS / HINTS

Part I - Multiple Choice Questions

1. (b)
2. (c)
3. (d)
4. (b)
5. (b)
6. (c)
7. (a)
8. (b)
9. (c)
10. (b)
11. (a)
12. (b)
13. (b)
14. (a)
15. (a)

Part II - Descriptive Answers

1. (a) SA 570, “Going Concern”, deals with the auditor’s responsibilities in the audit of financial statements relating to going concern and the implications for the auditor’s report.

If events or conditions have been identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern, the auditor shall obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence to determine whether or not a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern through performing additional audit procedures, including consideration of mitigating factors. These procedures shall include:

- (i) Where management has not yet performed an assessment of the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern, requesting management to make its assessment.
- (ii) Evaluating management’s plans for future actions in relation to its going concern assessment, whether the outcome of these plans is likely to improve the situation and whether management’s plans are

feasible in the circumstances.

- (iii) Where the entity has prepared a cash flow forecast, and analysis of the forecast is a significant factor in considering the future outcome of events or conditions in the evaluation of management's plans for future actions:
 - (I) Evaluating the reliability of the underlying data generated to prepare the forecast; and
 - (II) Determining whether there is adequate support for the assumptions underlying the forecast.
 - (iv) Considering whether any additional facts or information have become available since the date on which management made its assessment.
 - (v) Requesting written representations from management and, where appropriate, those charged with governance, regarding their plans for future actions and the feasibility of these plans.
- (b)** As per SA 505, "External Confirmation", If management refuses to allow the auditor to send a confirmation request, the auditor shall:
- (i) Inquire as to management's reasons for the refusal, and seek audit evidence as to their validity and reasonableness;
 - (ii) Evaluate the implications of management's refusal on the auditor's assessment of the relevant risks of material misstatement, including the risk of fraud, and on the nature, timing and extent of other audit procedures; and
 - (iii) Perform alternative audit procedures designed to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence.

If the auditor concludes that management's refusal to allow the auditor to send a confirmation request is unreasonable, or the auditor is unable to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence from alternative audit procedures, the auditor shall communicate with those charged with governance in accordance with SA 260 "Communication with Those Charged with Governance".

The auditor also shall determine the implications for the audit and the auditor's opinion in accordance with SA 705 "Modifications to the Opinion in the Independent Auditor's Report".

- (c)** As per SA 230, "Audit Documentation", the auditor shall assemble the audit documentation in an audit file and complete the administrative process of assembling the final audit file on a timely basis after the date of the auditor's report.
- ♦ An appropriate time limit within which to complete the assembly of the final audit file is ordinarily not more than 60 days after the date of the auditor's report. The completion of the assembly of the final audit file after the date of the auditor's report is an administrative

process that does not involve the performance of new audit procedures or the drawing of new conclusions.

- ◆ Changes may, however, be made to the audit documentation during the final assembly process, if they are administrative in nature.
- ◆ After the assembly of the final audit file has been completed, the auditor shall not delete or discard audit documentation of any nature before the end of its retention period.

In the given situation, the auditor CA Tanuj has issued the auditor's report on 18th August 2024 for the financial year ended on 31st March 2024. However, he discarded some supporting schedules and corrected cross-referencing errors of working papers during the final assembly of the audit file by 10th October 2024 which is under prescribed time-limit of 60 days from the issuance of auditors report. Further, no new audit conclusions were drawn. Thus, CA Tanuj can make said changes to the audit documentation during the final assembly process.

2. (a) As per SA 210, "Agreeing the Terms of Audit Engagements", a request from the entity for the auditor to change the terms of the audit engagement may result from a change in circumstances affecting the need for the service, a misunderstanding as to the nature of an audit as originally requested or a restriction on the scope of the audit engagement, whether imposed by management or caused by other circumstances.

The auditor considers the justification given for the request, particularly the implications of a restriction on the scope of the audit engagement.

A change in circumstances that affects the entity's requirements or a misunderstanding concerning the nature of the service originally requested may be considered a reasonable basis for requesting a change in the audit engagement.

In contrast, a change may not be considered reasonable if it appears that the change relates to information that is incorrect, incomplete or otherwise unsatisfactory. An example might be where the auditor is unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding receivables and the entity asks for the audit engagement to be changed to a review engagement to avoid a qualified opinion or a disclaimer of opinion.

Hence Deepa Ltd.'s request for the audit engagement to be changed to a review engagement to avoid a qualified opinion or a disclaimer of opinion is not reasonable.

(b) CARO, 2020 shall apply to every company including a foreign company as defined in clause (42) of section 2 of the Companies Act, 2013, except:

- (i) a banking company as defined in clause (c) of section 5 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949);
- (ii) an insurance company as defined under the Insurance Act, 1938 (4 of 1938);
- (iii) a company licensed to operate under section 8 of the Companies Act;
- (iv) a One Person Company as defined in clause (62) of section 2 of the Companies Act and a small company as defined in clause (85) of section 2 of the Companies Act; and
- (v) a private limited company, not being a subsidiary or holding company of a public company, having a paid up capital and reserves and surplus not more than one crore rupees as on the balance sheet date and which does not have total borrowings exceeding one crore rupees from any bank or financial institution at any point of time during the financial year and which does not have a total revenue as disclosed in Scheduled III to the Companies Act (including revenue from discontinuing operations) exceeding ten crore rupees during the financial year as per the financial statements.

(c) Benefits and need of Audit:

- Audited accounts provide high quality information. It gives confidence to users that information on which they are relying is qualitative and it is the outcome of an exercise carried out by following Auditing Standards recognized globally.
- In case of companies, shareholders may or may not be involved in daily affairs of the company. The financial statements are prepared by management consisting of directors. As shareholders are owners of the company, they need an independent mechanism so that financial information is qualitative and reliable. Hence, their interest is safeguarded by an audit.
- An audit acts as a moral check on employees from committing frauds for the fear of being discovered by audit.
- Audited financial statements are helpful to government authorities for determining tax liabilities.
- Audited financial statements can be relied upon by lenders, bankers for making their credit decisions i.e. whether to lend or not to lend to a particular entity.

3. (a) Understanding the Risk Management Process: Management develops controls and uses performance indicators to aid in managing key business and financial risks. An effective risk management system in a bank generally requires the following:

- (i) Oversight and involvement in the control process by those charged with governance: Those charged with governance (Board of Directors/Managing Director) should approve written risk management policies. The policies should be consistent with the bank's business objectives and strategies, capital strength, management expertise, regulatory requirements and the types and amounts of risk it regards as acceptable.
- (ii) Identification, measurement and monitoring of risks: Risks that could significantly impact the achievement of bank's goals should be identified, measured and monitored against pre-approved limits and criteria.
- (iii) Control activities: A bank should have appropriate controls to mitigate its risks including effective segregation of duties (particularly between front and back offices), accurate measurement and reporting of positions, verification and approval of transactions, reconciliation of positions and results, setting up limits, reporting and approval of exceptions, physical security and contingency planning.
- (iv) Monitoring activities: Risk management models, methodologies and assumptions used to measure and mitigate risk should be regularly assessed and updated. This function may be conducted by the independent risk management unit.
- (v) Reliable information systems: Banks require reliable information systems that provide adequate financial, operational and compliance information on a timely and consistent basis. Those charged with governance and management require risk management information that is easily understood and that enables them to assess the changing nature of the bank's risk profile.

(b) **Restrictions on share holdings:** - According to section 5 of the Central Act, in the case of a society where the liability of a member of the society is limited, no member of a society other than a registered society can hold such portion of the share capital of the society as would exceed a maximum of twenty percent of the total number of shares or of the value of shareholding to ₹ 1,000/-.

Restrictions on borrowings - Section 30 of the Central Act further puts restriction on borrowings. According to this section, a registered society shall accept loans and deposits from persons who are not members subject to the restrictions and limits of the bye-laws of the society. The auditor will have to examine the bye-laws in this respect."

In the given situation, Mr. Dhairya, a member of the society, is holding 200 shares amounting to ₹ 2000 from the previous year. In view of the

aforementioned restriction on shareholding by a member, Mr. Dhairya is allowed to hold a maximum of 100 shares according to the Act.

Further, Aman Co-operative Society had accepted a loan from Mr. Shivam, a non-member. Since, there are no restrictions regarding the acceptance of loan received from non-member in the society's bye-laws, the loan received from Mr. Shivam is permissible.

(c) In establishing the overall audit strategy, the auditor shall:

- (i) Identify the characteristics of the engagement that define its scope;
- (ii) Ascertain the reporting objectives of the engagement to plan the timing of the audit and the nature of the communications required;
- (iii) Consider the factors that, in the auditor's professional judgment, are significant in directing the engagement team's efforts;
- (iv) Consider the results of preliminary engagement activities and, where applicable, whether knowledge gained on other engagements performed by the engagement partner for the entity is relevant; and
- (v) Ascertain the nature, timing and extent of resources necessary to perform the engagement.

4. (a) Follow up for items that are obsolete, damaged, slow moving and ascertain the possible realizable value of such items. Carefully examine the valuation of obsolete and damaged inventory.

For the purpose, request the client to provide inventory ageing split and follow up for any inventories which at time of observance of physical counting were noted as being damaged or obsolete.

- Compare recorded costs with replacement costs.
- Examine vendor price lists to determine if recorded cost is less than current prices.
- Calculate inventory turnover ratio. Obsolete inventory may be revealed if ratio is significantly lower.
- In manufacturing environments, test overhead allocation rates and ensure that only direct labour, direct material and overhead have been included.
- Verify the correct application of lower-of- cost-or-net realizable value principles.

(b) Relationship between audit strategy and audit plan:

- Audit strategy sets the broad overall approach to the audit whereas audit plan addresses the various matters identified in the overall audit strategy.
- Audit strategy determines scope, timing and direction of audit. Audit plan describes how strategy is going to be implemented.

- The audit plan is more detailed than the overall audit strategy that includes the nature, timing and extent of audit procedures to be performed by engagement team members. Planning for these audit procedures takes place over the course of the audit as the audit plan for the engagement develops.
 - Once the overall audit strategy has been established, an audit plan can be developed to address the various matters identified in the overall audit strategy, taking into account the need to achieve the audit objectives through the efficient use of the auditor's resources.
 - The establishment of the overall audit strategy and the detailed audit plan are not necessarily discrete or sequential processes but are closely inter-related since changes in one may result in consequential changes to the other.
- (c) Determining materiality involves the exercise of professional judgment. A percentage is often applied to a chosen benchmark as a starting point in determining materiality for the financial statements as a whole. Factors that may affect the identification of an appropriate benchmark include the following:
- The elements of the financial statements like assets, liabilities, equity, revenue, expenses.
 - Whether there are items on which the attention of the users of the particular entity's financial statements tends to be focused. For example, for the purpose of evaluating financial performance users may tend to focus on profit, revenue or net assets.
 - The nature of the entity, where the entity is at in its life cycle, and the industry and economic environment in which the entity operates, the entity's ownership structure and the way it is financed. For example, If an entity is financed solely by debt rather than equity, users may put more emphasis on assets, and claims on them, than on the entity's earnings.
 - The relative volatility of the benchmark.
5. (a) **Attributes for verifying other expenses like Power and Fuel, Repair etc.:** An entity in addition to making purchases and incurring employee benefit expenses, also incurs other expenditures like rent, power and fuel, repairs and maintenance, insurance, travelling, miscellaneous expenses etc., that are essential and incidental to running of business operations.
- While the auditor may choose to analyse the monthly trends for expenses like rent, power and fuel, an auditor generally prefers to vouch for other expenses to verify following attributes:
- (i) Whether the expenditure pertained to current period under audit;
 - (ii) Whether the expenditure qualified as a revenue and not capital expenditure;

- (iii) Whether the expenditure had a valid supporting document like travel tickets, insurance policy, third party invoice etc.;
 - (iv) Whether the expenditure has been classified under the correct expense head;
 - (v) Whether the expenditure was authorised as per the delegation of authority matrix;
 - (vi) Whether the expenditure was in relation to the entity's business and not a personal expenditure.
- (b)** If the auditor assesses a risk of material misstatement regarding litigation or claims that have been identified, or when audit procedures performed indicate that other material litigation or claims may exist, the auditor shall, in addition to the procedures required by other SAs, seek direct communication with the entity's external legal counsel. The auditor shall do so through a letter of inquiry requesting the entity's external legal counsel to communicate directly with the auditor.

If law, regulation or the respective legal professional body prohibits the entity's external legal counsel from communicating directly with the auditor, the auditor shall perform alternative audit procedures.

If it is considered unlikely that the entity's external legal counsel will respond appropriately to a letter of general inquiry, for example if the professional body to which the external legal counsel belongs prohibits response to such a letter, the auditor may seek direct communication through a letter of specific inquiry. For this purpose, a letter of specific inquiry includes:

- (i) A list of litigation and claims;
 - (ii) Where available, management's assessment of the outcome of each of the identified litigation and claims and its estimate of the financial implications, including costs involved; and
 - (iii) A request that the entity's external legal counsel confirm the reasonableness of management's assessments and provide the auditor with further information if the list is considered by the entity's external legal counsel to be incomplete or incorrect.
- (c) Familiarity threats:** Familiarity threats are self-evident and occur when auditors form relationships with the client where they end up being too sympathetic to the client's interests. This can occur in many ways including:
- (i) close relative of the audit team working in a senior position in the client company;
 - (ii) former partner of the audit firm being a director or senior employee of the client;
 - (iii) long association between specific auditors and their specific client counterparts; and

- (iv) acceptance of significant gifts or hospitality from the client company, its directors or employees.

Provisions in Companies Act, 2013 regarding rotation of auditors mainly address these very familiarity threats. Such provisions prescribe that auditor is rotated after a certain number of years so that auditors do not become too familiar with their clients.

6. (a) Matters the auditor may consider in determining the extent of test of controls include the following:

- The frequency of the performance of the control by the entity during the period.
- The length of time during the audit period that the auditor is relying on the operating effectiveness of the control.
- The expected rate of deviation from a control.
- The relevance and reliability of the audit evidence to be obtained regarding the operating effectiveness of the control at the assertion level.
- The extent to which audit evidence is obtained from tests of other controls related to the assertion.

(b) Joint Audit of Financial Statements: As per SA 299, “Joint Audit of Financial Statements”, all the joint auditors shall be jointly and severally responsible for:

- (i) the audit work which is not divided among the joint auditors and is carried out by all joint auditors;
- (ii) decisions taken by all the joint auditors under audit planning in respect of common audit areas;
- (iii) matters which are brought to the notice of the joint auditors by any one of them and there is an agreement among the joint auditors on such matters;
- (iv) examining that the financial statements of the entity comply with the requirements of the relevant statutes;
- (v) presentation and disclosure of the financial statements as required by the applicable financial reporting framework;
- (vi) ensuring that the audit report complies with the requirements of the relevant statutes, applicable Standards on Auditing and other relevant pronouncements issued by ICAI.

(c) As per SA 580, “Written Representations”, the date of the written representations shall be as near as practicable to, but not after, the date of the auditor’s report on the financial statements. The written representations shall be for all financial statements and period(s) referred to in the auditor’s report.

Furthermore, because the auditor is concerned with events occurring up to the date of the auditor’s report that may require adjustment to or

disclosure in the financial statements, the written representations are dated as near as practicable to, but not after, the date of the auditor's report on the financial statements.

The written representations are for all periods referred to in the auditor's report because management needs to reaffirm that the written representations it previously made with respect to the prior periods remain appropriate.

Situations may arise where current management were not present during all periods referred to in the auditor's report. Such persons may assert that they are not in a position to provide some or all of the written representations because they were not in place during the period. This fact, however, does not diminish such persons' responsibilities for the financial statements as a whole. Accordingly, the requirement for the auditor to request from them written representations that cover the whole of the relevant period(s) still applies. In view of above, management is required to provide the written representation for all the periods even when current management were not present during all periods referred to in the auditor's report.

OR

- (c) As per SA 315, "Identifying and Assessing the Risk of Material Misstatement through Understanding the Entity and its Environment", the auditor shall obtain an understanding of the relevant industry, regulatory and other external factors including the applicable financial reporting framework. Relevant industry factors include industry conditions such as the competitive environment, supplier and customer relationships, and technological developments.

- ◆ Examples of matters the auditor may consider include market and competition, whether entity is engaged in seasonal activities, product technology relating to the entity's products. The industry in which the entity operates may give rise to specific risks of material misstatement arising from the nature of the business or the degree of regulation.
- ◆ Relevant regulatory factors include the regulatory environment. The regulatory environment includes, among other matters, the applicable financial reporting framework and the legal and political environment.
- ◆ Examples of matters the auditor may consider include accounting principles and industry specific practices, regulatory framework for a regulated industry, legislation and regulation that significantly affect the entity's operations, including direct supervisory activities, taxation, government policies currently affecting the conduct of the entity's business, environmental requirements affecting the industry and the entity's business.
- ◆ Examples of other external factors affecting the entity that the auditor may consider include the general economic conditions, interest rates and availability of financing, and inflation etc.